Abstract—Humanoid robotics is a new challenging field. To cooperate with human beings, humanoid robots not only have to feature human-like form and structure but, more importantly, they must possess human-like characteristics regarding motion, communication and intelligence. In this paper, we propose an algorithm for solving the inverse kinematics problem associated with the redundant robot arm of the humanoid robot ARMAR. The formulation of the problem is based on the decomposition of the workspace of the arm and on the analytical description of the redundancy of the arm. The solution obtained is characterized by its accuracy and low cost of computation. The algorithm is enhanced in order to generate human-like manipulation motions from object trajectories.

I. INTRODUCTION

From the mechanical point of view, a robot arm imitating the human arm motions should be kinematically redundant like the human arm. According to [1], the human arm mechanism is composed of seven degrees of freedom (DOF) from the shoulder to the wrist. Because there is kinematic redundancy, an infinite number of joint trajectories leads to the same end-effector trajectory. Kinematics redundancy can be used to avoid joint limits [2], obstacles [3], and singular configurations [4], as well as to provide a fault tolerant operation [5] or to optimize the robot arm dynamics [6]. Standard methods that deal with redundancy can be divided in two global and local methods [7]. The former require prior information about the entire Cartesian space trajectory of the end-effector to generate, usually iteratively, a set of joint angle trajectories with global optimality. Therefore, global control schemes are computationally expensive and not suitable for real-time implementation. On the other hand, local methods use only the instantaneous position of the end-effector to perform local optimization in real time. Therefore, local control schemes are required in case of cooperation tasks between humans and humanoid robots.

The use of redundancy for the generation of human-like robot arm motions has been already proposed in the literature and a variety of hypothetical cost functions has been suggested to explain principles of the human arm movements [8]. In [9], for instance, the kinematic redundancy is used to achieve human-like joint motions of a robot arm during the writing task. Recently, human motion capture has been used for the generation of kinematics models describing human and humanoid robot motions [10]. This method has been successfully applied to the generation of human-like motions of our humanoid robot ARMAR [11]. However, the applicability of this method to generate human-like manipulation motions is not yet clear from the literature.

In this paper we consider the problem of generating human-like motions from the kinematics point of view. We rely on a hypothesis from neurophysiology and present its on-line application for generating human-like motions of a redundant humanoid robot arm. The paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the kinematics of the 7-DOF arm of the humanoid robot ARMAR. The description of the arm redundancy and the algorithm for solving the inverse kinematics problem is given in section III. Section IV presents the approach we use to generate human-like motions. Finally section V summarizes the results and concludes the paper.
II. THE KINEMATICS MODEL OF THE ARM

Figure 2 shows the reference and link coordinate systems of the 7-DOF arm using the Denavit-Hartenberg convention. The values of the kinematic parameters are listed in Table 1, where $l_1, l_2$ and $l_6$ are the link lengths of shoulder, upperarm and forearm, respectively. They correspond to the home position pictured in the link coordinate diagram of figure 2. The Denavit-Hartenberg convention allows the construction of the forward kinematics function by composing the coordinate transformations into one homogeneous transformation matrix. The description of the coordinate transformation between frame $i$ and frame $i-1$ is given by the homogeneous transformation matrix

\[
A_i = \begin{pmatrix}
  c_{\theta_i} & -s_{\theta_i} & s_{\alpha_i} c_{\theta_i} & a_i c_{\theta_i} & 0 \\
s_{\theta_i} & c_{\theta_i} & s_{\alpha_i} s_{\theta_i} & a_i s_{\theta_i} & 0 \\
0 & s_{\alpha_i} & c_{\alpha_i} & d_i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}
\]

where $s_{\eta_j}$ and $c_{\eta_j}$ are $\sin \eta_j$ and $\cos \eta_j$, respectively. Having defined a frame for each link, the coordinate transformation describing the position and orientation of the end-effector with respect to the base frame is given by

\[
T_{TCP}(\bar{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{7} (A_i(\theta_i)) = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n} & \bar{\theta} & \bar{\alpha} & \bar{p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}
\]

where $\bar{\theta}$ is the $(7 \times 1)$ vector of the joint variables, $\bar{n}, \bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{d}$ are the unit vectors of the frame attached to the end-effector, and $\bar{p}$ is the position vector of the origin of this frame with respect to the origin of the reference frame $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ (figure 2).

III. THE REDUNDANCY OF THE ARM

The robot arm redundancy can be described by the rotation of the center of the elbow joint about the axis, that passes through the wrist and the origin of the base frame $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$. The feasible positions of the elbow around this axis are defined by a curve. This curve can be derived from the fact that the ending point of the upper arm describes an ellipsoid centered in the origin of the coordinate system $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and that the starting point of the forearm describes a sphere centered on the wrist $(x_w, y_w, z_w)$, which corresponds to the origin of the coordinate system $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ in figure 2. Since these two points have to coincide, the redundancy curve results from the intersection of the ellipsoid and the sphere. Figure 3 shows the ellipsoid, which is given by

\[
\frac{x}{(l_x + l_o)^2} + \frac{y}{(l_y + l_o)^2} + \frac{z}{(l_z)^2} = 1 \tag{3}
\]

and the sphere with the radius $l_f$ and whose center is given by the position vector $\bar{w}$ of the wrist

\[
\bar{w} = (x_w, y_w, z_w)^T = \bar{p} - l_h \cdot \bar{n}, \tag{4}
\]

where $\bar{p}$ is the position vector of the end-effector, $l_h$ is the distance between the wrist and $\bar{n}$ the normal vector of the frame attached to the end-effector (figure 2). For a given position and orientation of the end-effector all possible positions of the elbow $(x_e, y_e, z_e)$ can be determined based on the derived description of the arm redundancy. Once having the elbow position and orientation (both the origin and the unit vectors of the coordinate system $(x_4, y_4, z_4)$), the remaining joint angles are then easy to determine.

TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>$\theta_i$</th>
<th>$\alpha_i$</th>
<th>$l_i$ [mm]</th>
<th>$d_i$ [mm]</th>
<th>range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\theta_1$</td>
<td>$-90^\circ$</td>
<td>$l_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-90^\circ \cdots 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\theta_2$</td>
<td>$-90^\circ$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-90^\circ \cdots 90^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\theta_3$</td>
<td>$-90^\circ$</td>
<td>$l_2$</td>
<td>$-250^\circ \cdots 90^\circ$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\theta_4$</td>
<td>$-90^\circ$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0 \cdots 145^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\theta_5$</td>
<td>$-90^\circ$</td>
<td>$l_5$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0 \cdots 350^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\theta_6$</td>
<td>$-90^\circ$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$-45^\circ \cdots 45^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$\theta_7$</td>
<td>$90^\circ$</td>
<td>$l_6$</td>
<td>$45^\circ \cdots 45^\circ$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Coordinate systems of the arm

Fig. 3. Workspace of the upperarm and forearm
The description of the complete curve resulting from the intersection of the ellipsoid and the sphere mentioned above is analytically difficult. To make this task mathematically tractable, we first determine the intersection between the ellipsoid and the sphere at \( z = z_e \). The redundancy curve of the arm can eventually be obtained by combining all such intersections for all values of \( z_e \) in the interval \([z_{e\min}, z_{e\max}]\), where \( z_{e\min} \) and \( z_{e\max} \) are the minimal and maximal values of the z-coordinate of the elbow for a given position and orientation of the end-effector.

### A. Determination of the elbow position and orientation

Let \( z_e \in [z_{e\min}, z_{e\max}] \) be the z-coordinate of an elbow position for a given position and orientation of the end-effector. The intersection of the ellipsoid with the plane \( z = z_e \) results in a circle. The radius \( r_1 \) and the center \( M_1 \) of this circle (Figure 4) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_1 &= l_z + \sqrt{l_x^2 - z_e^2} \\
  M_1 &= (0, 0, z_e)^T
\end{align*}
\]

The intersection of the sphere with the plane \( z = z_e \) results in a circle. The radius \( r_2 \) and the center \( M_2 \) of this circle (Figure 5) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
  r_2 &= l_z + \sqrt{l_z^2 - d_{2z}^2}, \quad d_{2z} = z_e - z_w \\
  M_2 &= (x_w, y_w, z_e)^T
\end{align*}
\]

The two points \( \vec{e}_1 \) and \( \vec{e}_2 \) provided by the intersection of the circles represent two possible positions of the elbow. In addition, the unit vectors of the frame \((x_4, y_4, z_4)\) attached to the elbow must be established. The vector \( \vec{z}_4 \) lies along the forearm and points to the wrist. The vector \( \vec{y}_4 \) is perpendicular to the upperarm \((\vec{r}_2)\) and forearm \((\vec{r}_f)\). The vector \( \vec{x}_4 \) completes the right-handed coordinate frame.

![Fig. 4. The Ellipsoid and the plane z = z_e](image)

![Fig. 5. The Sphere and the plane z = z_e](image)

The above criteria can be formally expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \vec{z}_4 &= \frac{\vec{r}_u}{\|\vec{r}_u\|} \\
  \vec{y}_4 &= \frac{\vec{z}_4 \times (\vec{r}_u - \vec{e}_i)}{\|\vec{z}_4 \times (\vec{r}_u - \vec{e}_i)\|} \quad i = 1, 2 \\
  \vec{x}_4 &= \vec{y}_4 \times \vec{z}_4
\end{align*}
\]

Having determined the position and the unit vectors of the frame attached to the elbow, the two possible positions of the elbow can be expressed by the homogeneous transformation matrix

\[
T_{\text{elbow}} = \begin{pmatrix}
  x_4 & y_4 & z_4 & e_i \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \quad i = 1, 2
\]

which provides the position and orientation of the \((x_4, y_4, z_4)\) with respect to the base frame.

In order to get \( z_{e\min} \) and \( z_{e\max} \), we consider the necessary but not sufficient condition, which a point of intersection of the circles mentioned above must satisfy

\[
\sqrt{x_w^2 + y_w^2} \leq r_1 + r_2
\]

and substitute \( r_1 \) from equation (5) and \( r_2 \) from equation (7) in equation (13) and solve for \( z \).
B. Solution of the inverse kinematics problem

The task of solving the inverse kinematics problem results in the determination of the arm joint angles for a given position and orientation of the end effector. The relationship between the elbow and the reference base frame is given by equation (12). Furthermore, this relationship can be expressed as

\[ T_{elbow} = A_1 \cdot A_2 \cdot A_3 \cdot A_4 \]  

\[ = \begin{pmatrix} n_x' & a_x' & d_x' & p_x' \\ n_y' & a_y' & d_y' & p_y' \\ n_z' & a_z' & d_z' & p_z' \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]  

Note that the expression of the position and orientation of the frame \((x_4, y_4, z_4)\) depends only on the first four joint variables \(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_4\) and \(\theta_5\), which are responsible for the position of the wrist. The position and orientation of the end-effector relative to the elbow is given by

\[ T'' = A_4^{-1} \cdot A_3^{-1} \cdot A_2^{-1} \cdot A_1^{-1} \cdot T_{elbow} \]  

\[ = A_5 \cdot A_6 \cdot A_7 \]  

Hence, the inverse kinematics problem can be decomposed into two smaller dimension subproblems: the inverse kinematics problem given by equation (14) and the inverse kinematics problem given by equation (15). The solution starts with the matrix equation

\[ A_1^{-1} \cdot T_{elbow} = A_2 \cdot A_3 \cdot A_4 
\]

To simplify the notation \(s_i\) and \(c_i\) are \(\sin \theta_i\) and \(\cos \theta_i\), respectively. The notation \(W(i, j)\) denotes the element of the \(i\)th row and \(j\)th column of the matrix \(W\). The equation \(L_1 \cdot (3, 4) = R(3, 4)\) of the elements of the matrices \(L_1 \) and \(R_1\) can be used to solve for \(\theta_4\). This yields:

\[ \theta_{5,1,2} = \text{atan2} \left( \frac{\pm p_y', \pm p_z'}{\pm p_4'} \right) \]  

The equations \(L_1 \cdot (1, 4) = R(1, 4)\) and \(L_1 \cdot (2, 4) = R(2, 4)\) of the elements of the matrices \(L_1\) and \(R_1\) provide the solution for \(\theta_2\):

\[ \theta_2 = \text{atan2} \left( -p_z', c_1 p_4' + s_1 p_4' - l_4 \right) \]  

The solution for the joint angles \(\theta_1\) and \(\theta_4\) can be obtained from the following matrix equation:

\[ A_1^{-1} \cdot A_1^{-1} \cdot T_{elbow} = A_2 \cdot A_4 
\]

The equations \(L_2 \cdot (1, 2) = R(1, 2)\) and \(L_2 \cdot (2, 2) = R(2, 2)\) of the elements of the matrices \(L_2\) and \(R_2\) provide \(\theta_3\) as follows:

\[ \theta_3 = \text{atan2} \left( -s_2 c_1' + c_4 o_4', -s_3 c_1' - s_2 s_1' + c_4 d_4' \right) \]  

The equations \(L_2 \cdot (3, 1) = R(3, 1)\) and \(L_2 \cdot (3, 3) = R(3, 3)\) of the elements of the matrices \(L_2\) and \(R_2\) provide \(\theta_4\) as follows:

\[ \theta_4 = \text{atan2} \left( c_2 c_1' + s_2 s_1' - s_2' \right) \]  

Since the joint angles \(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\) and \(\theta_4\) are already known, closed-form expressions for the joint variables \(\theta_5, \theta_6\) and \(\theta_7\) can be obtained from the matrix equation:

\[ A_5^{-1} \cdot T'' = A_6 \cdot A_7 \]  

The equation \(L_3 \cdot (3, 2) = R(3, 2)\) of the elements of the matrices \(L_3\) and \(R_3\) can be used to solve for \(\theta_3\). This yields:

\[ \theta_{5,1,2} = \text{atan2} \left( \pm o_4', \pm d_4' \right) \]  

The equations \(L_3 \cdot (1, 2) = R(1, 2)\) and \(L_3 \cdot (2, 2) = R(2, 2)\) of the elements of the matrices \(L_3\) and \(R_3\) provide \(\theta_6\) as follows:

\[ \theta_6 = \text{atan2} \left( -o_4', -c_3 o_4' - s_5 o_5' \right) \]  

Solving the equations \(L_3 \cdot (3, 1) = R(3, 1)\) and \(L_3 \cdot (3, 3) = R(3, 3)\) for the joint angle \(\theta_7\) then yields:

\[ \theta_7 = \text{atan2} \left( -s_5 o_4' + c_3 a_4' + s_5' \right) \]  

In the following section we describe how to select a human-like arm configuration out of the infinite number of distinct possible ones.

IV. HUMAN-LIKE ROBOT ARM MOTION

The underlying idea for the generation of human-like robot arm motions is based on the results in [12] and [13]. Soechting and Flanders have shown that arm movements are planned in shoulder-centered spherical coordinates and suggest a sensorimotor transformation model that maps the wrist position on a natural arm posture using a set of representation parameters \(q^e, q^f, q^u\) and \(q^l\), which are the upperarm elevation, the forearm elevation, the upperarm yaw and the forearm yaw, respectively (figure 7).

The relation between these parameters and the spherical coordinates of the wrist position \(r, \phi\) and \(\chi\) is given by

\[ q^e = -4.0 + 1.10 r + 0.90 \phi \]  

\[ q^f = 39.4 + 0.54 r - 1.06 \phi \]  

\[ q^u = 13.2 + 0.86 \chi + 0.11 \phi \]  

\[ q^l = -10.0 + 1.08 \chi - 0.35 \phi \]
Once these parameters are obtained for a given position of the wrist frame, they are mapped on the joint angles of the shoulder and elbow $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$, $\theta_3$, and $\theta_4$ of the robot arm. Note that the position of the wrist is completely determined by these angles. The joint angles $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ depend only on the upperarm elevation $q^u$ and forearm yaw $q^f$.

\[
\theta_1 = -q^u_y \quad (27)
\]

\[
\theta_2 = \pi - q^u_x - \arccos \left( \frac{l_u \cdot \cos(q^u_x)}{l_u} \right) \quad (28)
\]

The joint angle $\theta_4$ can be determined from the scalar product of $\vec{l}_u$ and $\vec{l}_f$. This yields:

\[
\theta_4 = \pm \arccos \left( \frac{\vec{l}_u \cdot \vec{l}_f}{||\vec{l}_u|| \cdot ||\vec{l}_f||} \right) \quad (29)
\]

\[
= \pm \arccos \left( \cos(\theta_2) \cdot \sin(q^u_x) \cdot \sin(q^u_y) + \right. \\
\left. \cos(\theta_2) \cdot \cos(q^u_x) \cdot \sin(q^u_y) - \sin(\theta_2) \cdot \cos(q^u_y) \right)
\]

The difference $(z_w - z_e)$ of the wrist and elbow z-coordinate can be determined using the direct kinematics and the arm representation parameters. Thus, the joint angle $\theta_3$ can be computed as follows:

\[
\theta_3 = \arcsin \left( \frac{\sin(\theta_2) \cdot \cos(\theta_1) + \cos(q^u_x)}{\cos(\theta_2) \cdot \sin(\theta_4)} \right) \quad (30)
\]

Since the sensorimotor transformation model is only an approximation, the wrist position given by the determined angles $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$, $\theta_3$ and $\theta_4$ does not exactly coincide with the target one. However, the exact position and orientation of the end-effector can be reached by applying the inverse kinematics algorithm presented in section III-B, which allows calculating the remaining joint angles $\theta_5$, $\theta_6$, and $\theta_7$. In case one of these angles violates its limit, we correct this by changing iteratively the elbow position until either a feasible solution is found or all possible positions of the elbow in the interval $[\theta_e^{\text{min}}, \theta_e^{\text{max}}]$ are exhausted.

V. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The generation of human-like manipulation motions has been implemented and tested successfully for the 7-DOF arm of the humanoid robot ARMAR. The result is a real-time control scheme that eliminates a major part of unnatural arm configurations during the execution of manipulation tasks. Figure 8 shows an example of the desired (dashed line) and generated (solid line) position trajectories in the Cartesian space during the execution of a manipulation task operation. The deviations are compensated through the inverse kinematics algorithm with minimal modifications of the joint angle trajectories determined according to section IV.

The presented approach does not consider the dynamics of the robot arm. This would be necessary to generate realistic velocity distribution for manipulation motions. A limitation of the proposed way to generate human-like motions is the simple kinematic structure of the robot arm. In the case of complex manipulation tasks, the exact position and orientation of the hand can be obtained only through significantly large modifications of the joints $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$, $\theta_3$ and $\theta_4$. However, the resulting configuration is not guaranteed to be human-like. Therefore, a humanoid robot arm with a higher degree of redundancy is envisaged.
VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been performed in the framework of the
german humanoid robotics program SFB 588 funded by
the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

VII. REFERENCES

[1] M. Benati, S. Gaglio, P. Morasso, V. Tagliassco,
Representing Mechanical Complexity. Biological
Configuration and Behavior of Multibody Mech-
anism. IEEE Transaction on Systems, Man and
ance for Kinematically Redundant Manipulators in
Dynamically Varying Environments. The Interna-
tional Journal of Robotics Research, 4(3):109–117,
1985.
Solution with Singularity Robustness for Robot Man-
ipulator Control. ASME Journal of Mechanisms,
Transmissions, Automation, Design, 108:163–171,
1986.
[5] K.N. Groom, A.A. Maciejewski, and V. Balakr-
ishnan. Real-Time Failure-Tolerant Control of
Kinematically Redundant Manipulators. The Inter-
national Conference on Robotics and Automation,
tion of Manipulators through Torque Optimization.

In IEEE International Conference on Robotics and
[7] Y. Nakamura. Advanced Robotics: Redundancy and
[8] H. Cruse, E. Wischmeyer, M. Brüwer, P. Brockfeld,
and A. Dress. On the Cost Functions for the
Control of the Human Arm Movement. Biological
[9] V. Potkonjak, M. Popovic, M. Lazarevic, and
J. Sinanovic. Redundancy Problem in Writing: From
Human to Anthropomorphic Robot Arm. IEEE
Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics (Part
Automatic Generation of Kinematic Models for the
Conversion of Human Motion Capture Data into
Humanoid Robot Motion. In Proceeding of the First
IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid
Control of ARMAR for the Realization of Anthro-
mpomorphic Motion Patterns. In The 2nd IEEE-
RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots
Representations for Pointing to Targets in Three-
Dimensional Space. Journal of Neurophysiology,
are Due to Approximations in Targets in Sensori-
motor Transformations. Journal of Neurophysiology,

Fig. 8. Time history of the position trajectories.